# Analysis and study on static load test of box girder bridge in mining area under special load

Shengtao Yuan, Weilong Zheng, Shunchao Chen\*, Chuanwen Hu, Wenbo Luo, Shoufu Xie

College of Civil Engineering, Southwest Forestry University, Kunming, Yunnan, 650224, China

**Abstract.** In order to evaluate the stress condition and working performance of a box girder bridge in a mining area under the special load of 100T, through static load test, the mechanical properties of the bridge under operating conditions were analyzed and studied. Static load test is the stress test of the main beam under the partial and medium load, finally, the measured results are compared with the finite element result. The results show that under the action of various loads, the check coefficient of the bridge strain and the main tensile strain check coefficient was not exceed the standard allowable value 1, the actual working performance of the structure is very good, the maximum relative residual strain was 8.33%, which are not 20% higher than the standard allowable value, the whole bridge is in the elastic deformation stage, meet with the normal use requirements.

### 1 Foreword

Bridges are the throat of transportation, with the rapid development of China's automobile industry and the continuous introduction of foreign cars, as well as the demand for heavy trucks in the mining area and the continuous improvement of production equipment and technology in the mining area, the extra heavy vehicle brings hidden trouble to the safety of bridge in mining area. In order to ensure the normal operation of bridges in the mining area, it is necessary to test the working state of bridge structure through load test and to determine the stress condition of the bridge.

At present, more and more scholars study the static load test of box girder bridge under special load, some research results have been obtained. Such as Huang Jun, Li Shenglian, etc conducted static load test on trestle bridge of hydropower station by loading through water tank[1]; Dong Shuiying conducted an experimental study on a 419.3t special vehicle passing through a hollow slab bridge[2]; Gu Yongjun studied and analyzed the safety of special vehicles crossing the bridge[3]; Su Jiafeng, Xu Yufeng et al. studied the safety evaluation of wind power generation transportation vehicles passing the simple supported girder bridge[4]; Li Desheng, Zhou Zhaohuan et al studied the load test of transporting wind turbine equipment across the bridge[5]; Liu xiao zhou was analysised of bearing capacity of bridge under special load[6]. However, there is little literature about the load test of box girder bridge under special load in China. Therefore, the research of this subject has application value.

# 2 Project summary

K0+527.81 middle bridge is located in Shanxin village, line S304 of provincial highway, across the Dianxi river, the superstructure consists of 6x20m prestressed concrete box girder, its bridge span connection is simple and continuous; the substructure is composed of ribbed slab abutment, double column abutment and abutment pile foundation. This bridge is 60m in length and 3 spans in total, the total width of the bridge is 0.5m+11m+0.5m, and the beam height is 1.8m, the bridge deck pavement is composed of 15cm thick C50 concrete and inorganic waterproof layer, the transverse slope of the bridge deck is 2%, and the design load is 100T.

The bridge model is built and analyzed using the bridge software MIDAS/Civil. the test was carried out by simulating a special load of 100T, the stress and displacement of each section of the superstructure are measured under static load, compare and analyze whether the stress and displacement values of the measuring points in each section conform to the design specifications

## 3 Bridge static load test

#### 3.1 Static load test principle

Static load test mainly tests the strain, displacement, residual strain and residual deformation after unloading at the measured points of each control section of the upper main structure of the bridge, comprehensive evaluation of bridge main structure performance. The simulated design load of mine 100T super heavy vehicle

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's e-mail: 1094858707@qq.com, 410106781 @qq.com

<sup>©</sup> The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

is adopted, loading at the most unfavorable position of the internal force influence line in the control section of the main structure, make the test load efficiency coefficient between 0.85~1.05. According to the calculation and analysis, it is determined that the bridge static load test requires two 100T double rear axle heavy vehicles. When the test vehicle is fully loaded, the axle load and wheelbase are as shown in figure 1.

Strain test: the strain gauge is arranged at the bottom of the box girder and the web of the box girder, the resistance of 120  $\Omega$  and the sensitivity coefficient is 2.08, the range of 10 cm, the data is recovered by DH3819 wireless static strain tester. Deflection test: at the bottom of each control section box girder, the method of suspension wire + hammer + dial indicator is adopted, a hook is set in the middle of the bottom of the beam of the test section, hang the wire on the hook, the hammer is suspended at the bottom of the wire, percentage table under the weight, the weight is constrained by a fixed bracket, as shown in figure 2.



#### 3.2 Test section and point arrangement

According to the most unfavorable principle of force, select 1# span as the test span, it mainly tests the stress and deformation of the main beams in cross sections J1, J2 and J3 of 1# span, the test section layout is shown in figure3, the corresponding strain points are arranged at

the bottom and side of each control surface beam, in the direction of great mileage, from left to right, 18 strain points are arranged in section J1 and 12 strain points in section J2, there is no strain point on the web of section J2,and 4 strain points are arranged on section J3 of shear force at beam end.



#### 3.3 Load arrangement and test conditions

The three sections J1, J2, and J3 across 1# are loaded in turn, 2 cars are placed in the horizontal direction for each section loading car, loading mode is divided into medium load and partial load, the loading truck of each section is arranged in the same way along the longitudinal direction, and the shear section at the beam end is loaded by partial load.

According to the calculation of the structure, the maximum positive bending moment of the control section and the maximum shear force at the beam end are taken as the control values of the loading section, according to the special load 100T at the most unfavorable position. the load flow is shown in table 1

Table 1 Load test procedure

Load condition	Control section	Loading way	Test content
Condition 1	J1 section	Partial load	Deflection and strain
Condition 2	J1 section	Middle load	Deflection and strain

Condition 3	J2 section	Partial load	Deflection and strain
Condition 4	J2 section	Middle load	Deflection and strain
Condition 5	J3 section	Partial load	Strain
			a

#### 3.4 Static load test efficiency

In order to meet with the requirement of special load effect of 100T, the quantity of test vehicle and axle load are selected according to the principle of equivalent control internal force, the static load efficiency  $\eta_q$  between 0.85 ~ 1.05, weigh the special car in the mine before the test, calculate according to formula (1)[7]

Strain  

$$\eta_q = \frac{S_s}{S(1+\mu)}$$
(1)  
It load analysis, the 2-lane load is considered,

In the test load analysis, the 2-lane load is considered, the impact coefficient is considered in the design load, but the transverse reduction is not considered. By loading position, load capacity control such as static load efficiency  $\eta_q$  between 0.85 and 1.05, the bridge static load efficiency values in table 2

Table 2 Static load efficiency coefficient

Load condition	Ms/ (kN.m)	<i>Mt</i> / (kN.m)	$\eta_q$
Condition 1	1377.5	1583.22	0.87
Condition 2	1192.4	1339.83	0.89
Condition 3	990.8	1152.06	0.86
Condition 4	848.3	998.03	0.85
Condition 5	389kN	458kN	0.85

## 4 Analysis of test results

#### 4.1 Analysis of strain test results

Under each working condition, the strain check coefficient of  $1\#\sim6\#$  beam measurement point ranges from 0.46 to 0.90, it shows that the bridge structure and materials meet with the design requirements and the overall performance of the structure is good; the relative residual strain value is less than 8.33%, less than 20% of

the allowable value specified in literature [7], it shows that the overall stiffness of the bridge has a certain margin and the bridge superstructure in the elastic deformation stage. Under the action of stress, there is no abnormality in each test section and the bridge is under normal stress.

According to the result of strain test, the elastic strain and theoretical elastic strain of each test point are drawn as shown in figure 4-5



As can be seen from figure  $4\sim5$ , under different loads, the measured strain values of each section are consistent with the calculated values, and the test value is less than the theoretical value, it indicates that the transverse force transfer of the bridge is normal, the overall stiffness distribution of the bridge is relatively uniform.

#### 4.2 Test results of lateral strain of beam body

Under test load, the strain data of the web of beam 5 are shown in table 3.

Beam number	Measuring point position	High measuring point	Measured strain value
	Up on left web	140	-7
	Middle the left web	70	17
	Under the left web	20	37
5#	Average bottom of beam	0	40
	Up on right web	140	-5
	Middle the right web	70	16
	Under the right web	20	35

Table 3 Comparison	of measured	strain-beam	height or	1 the side	of beam
•			<u> </u>		

According to the test strain distribution along the
beam height, analyze the height and working condition
of the 5# beam neutral axis, as shown in figure6.



As can be seen from figure 6, the distribution of the strain value of the 5# beam test has a good linear relationship along the beam height, the deformation of beam web section conforms to the plane assumption, it indicates that beam 5 is in the elastic deformation stage under vehicle load. According to the linear regression equation, the test neutral axis of no. 5 beam body is 121.05cm away from the bottom of the beam, theoretical calculation of the neutral axis height is 99.6cm, the reason for the deviation is that the bridge deck pavement,

the concrete guardrail, etc. are involved in the force, which is a normal phenomenon.

#### 4.3 Beam end shear section test results

Under test load, the comparison between the measured values and the calculated values of the main tensile strain at each measuring point of J3 section 5# beam is shown in table 4.

Condition	Measuring point number	Main tensile strain measured value /(με)	Main tensile strain	Check coefficient $/\eta$
			calculation /( $\mu\epsilon$ )	
Working	1#	3.3	5.7	0.58
condition	2#	5.1	6.2	0.82
5	3#	7.3	9.0	0.81
	4#	5.2	7.4	0.70

Table 4 Comparison between measured main tensile strain value and calculated value

As can be seen from table 4, the principal tensile strain check coefficients of the four strain test points in the J3 shear section of beam no.5 are between 0.58 and 0.82, it meets with the requirement of calibration coefficient < 1 in literature[7], during the test, visual observation was made near each test section, no visible cracks were found, it shows that the shear bearing capacity of the beam end meets with the design requirements.

# 5 Conclusion

K0+527.81 middle bridge, under various conditions, static load efficiency is between 0.85 and 0.89, within the allowed value of 0.85~1.05, explaining that the static load test is established, the strain check coefficient is between 0.46 and 0.90, the principal tensile stress test coefficient is between 0.58 and 0.82, it indicates that the overall stiffness of the bridge is large and has a certain surplus, beam end shear force meet with design specifications. The distribution of 5# beam test strain values has a good linear relationship along the beam height, the deformation of beam web section conforms to the plane assumption, the theoretical neutral axis height is less than the measured neutral axis height and overall mechanical performance of the bridge meets with the requirements of normal use

# References

 Huang, J. Li, L.S., Lin, S. (2010) Static load test for particularly loaded bridge in hydropower station[J]. Technology of Highway and Transport, 2:53-55.

- Dong.S.Y.(2010) Static calculation and analysis of special load vehicle passing through hollow plate[J]. Technology of Highway and Transport(Applied Technology Edition), 6:288-290
- Gu.Y.J, S.Y. (2009)Research on reliability evaluation method and technical countermeasures for special load vehicle passing bridge[D]. Hang'zhou:Zhe jiang University of Technology, 21-32
- 4. Su.J.F, Xu.Y.F.(2013)Research on special vehicle safety assessment for existing bridges[J]. Journal of China & Foreign Highway, 33:230-233
- Li D.Z, Zhou Z.H. (2012) Analysis of bridge load test with special vehicle load[J]. Urban Roads Bridges & Flood Control, 1:55-57
- Liu X.Z. (2009) The analysis of bridge bearing capacity under special load[J]. East China Highway, 177: 53–55.
- Ministry of Transport of the People's Republic of China, Specification for inspection and evaluation of load-bearing capacity of highway bridges: JTG/TJ21-2011[S]