# Predictive analysis of two bijectively related families of functions in L<sup>2</sup>, which are expressed as tuple pairs

VG Mosin<sup>1,\*</sup> and AA Abashkin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Samara State Technical University, 244 Molodogvardeyskaya str., Samara, 443100, Russia

**Abstract.** This article proposes a method of function image prediction calculation by its preimage. This method is based on regression analysis of function image and preimage pairs in  $L^2$ . The prediction model application procedure is described. The algorithm pseudocod are given.

# 1. Introduction

The machine learning is impotent tool of science data research [1-3]. It lets solve many problems, such as prognoses problem [2, 4, 5]. Prognosing is making by regression model constructing [3, 5, 6, 7]. The objects number must be significantly more than the attributes number [8], otherwise re-education effect is originating [8,[9] and model prediction power goes down [2, 3, 10].

Insufficient data situation often appears in practice (if it's getting associate with technical and another difficulties), then regression model isn't applied. Then different sampling and composition methods are used [11-14]. One of these methods is proposed in this article.

# 2. Problem statement

Let

$$f_i(s) \in L^2[a,b], \quad g_i(t) \in L^2[c,d], \ i = \overline{0,n}$$

be two function families. Let

$$\Theta: L^2[a,b] \rightarrow L^2[c,d]$$

be a continuous map, such that  $\Theta(f_i) = g_i$ . Suppose we know values of the function  $f_i(s)$  at the points  $s_{ij}$ ,  $j = \overline{0, p_i}$ , such that:

$$s_{ij} < s_{i,j+1}, i = \overline{0, n}, j = \overline{0, p_i - 1},$$

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: samcocaa@rambler.ru

<sup>©</sup> The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

$$s_{i0} = a$$
,  $s_{ip_i} = b$ ,  $i = 0, n$ 

and values of the function  $g_i(t)$  at the points  $t_{ik}$ ,  $k = \overline{0, q_i}$ , such that:

$$\begin{split} t_{ik} < t_{i,k+1}, & i = \overline{0, n}, & k = \overline{0, q_i - 1}, \\ t_{i0} = c, & t_{iq_i} = d, & i = \overline{0, n} \end{split}$$

Denote  $f_i(s_{ij}) = f_{ij}$ ,  $g_i(t_{ik}) = g_{ik}$ . We have tuple pairs  $(s_{ij}, f_{ij})$ ,  $(t_{ik}, g_{ik})$ , and map  $\Theta$  induces bijection

$$M_{\Theta}: (s_{ij}, f_{ij}) \longrightarrow (t_{ik}, g_{ik}), \qquad i = \overline{0, n}.$$

Suppose we have another function f and we know tuple pairs

$$(s_j, f_j), \qquad j = \overline{0, p}.$$

Our problem is to obtain predictive expression of function  $g = \Theta(f)$  as tuple pairs

$$(t_k, g_k), \qquad k = 0, q.$$

## 3. Data preparing

Important stage of data preparing is its normalization. We normalize tuple pairs

$$s_{ij}^* = \frac{s_{ij} - a}{b - a}, \qquad t_{ik}^* = \frac{t_{ik} - c}{d - c}.$$

Now we get

$$s_{ij}^* \in [0,1], \quad t_{ik}^* \in [0,1].$$

We create grids for variables  $s^* = \frac{s-a}{b-a}$  and  $t^* = \frac{t-c}{a-c}$ . By  $\zeta_l$ ,  $l = \overline{0, m_{\zeta}}$  and  $\eta_r$ ,  $r = \overline{0, m_{\eta}}$  denote the values of variables  $s^*$  and  $t^*$  at grid nodes. Values of  $\zeta_l$  and  $\eta_r$  depend on type of the grid. For uniform grid we have

$$\zeta_l = \frac{l}{m_{\zeta}}, \quad l = \overline{0, m_{\zeta}}, \quad \eta_r = \frac{r}{m_{\eta}}, \quad r = \overline{0, m_{\eta}}.$$

If the grid is denser at point 0, we have

$$\zeta_l = \left(\frac{l}{m_{\zeta}}\right)^2, \quad l = \overline{0, m_{\zeta}}, \quad \eta_r = \left(\frac{r}{m_{\eta}}\right)^2, \quad r = \overline{0, m_{\eta}}.$$

If the grid is denser at point 1, we have

$$\zeta_j = 1 - \left(\frac{l}{m_{\zeta}} - 1\right)^2$$
,  $l = \overline{0, m_{\zeta}}$ ,  $\eta_r = 1 - \left(\frac{r}{m_{\eta}} - 1\right)^2$ ,  $r = \overline{0, m_{\eta}}$ .

There are many types of grids, that are denser at some points from [0,1]. In any case we have two grids, such that

$$\zeta_l \in [0,1], \quad \eta_r \in [0,1].$$

After the grids were chosen, we complete a definition of values of function f and function g at the grid nodes.

At the boundary nodes we have

$$f_i(\zeta_0) = f_{i,0}, \qquad f_i\left(\zeta_{m_{\zeta}}\right) = f_{i,m_{\zeta}}, \quad i = \overline{0,n},$$
$$g_i(\eta_0) = g_{i,0}, \qquad g_i\left(\eta_{m_{\eta}}\right) = g_{i,m_{\eta}}, \quad i = \overline{0,n}.$$

At the inner nodes we use the following rule.

We fix number *i* and study all values of number *l*. If  $\forall j, \zeta_l \neq s_{ij}$  then unique number  $j_0$  exists, such that  $s_{i,j_0} < \zeta_l < s_{i,j_0+1}$ . In this case we apply linear interpolation

$$f_i(\zeta_l) = \left(f_{i,j_0+1} - f_{i,j_0}\right) \frac{\zeta_l - s_{i,j_0}^*}{s_{i,j_0+1}^* - s_{i,j_0}^*} + f_{i,j_0}.$$

Otherwise there is number  $j_0$ , such that  $\zeta_l = s_{i,j_0}^*$ , in this case we have

$$f_i(\zeta_l) = f_{i,j_0}$$

Similarly, we do calculation for function g. We fix number i and study all values of number r. If  $\forall k, \eta_r \neq t_{ik}$  then unique number  $k_0$  exists, such that  $t_{i,k_0} < \eta_r < t_{i,k_0+1}$ . In this case we apply linear interpolation

$$g_i(\eta_r) = \left(g_{i,k_0+1} - g_{i,k_0}\right) \frac{\eta_r - t^*_{i,k_0}}{t^*_{i,k_0+1} - t^*_{i,k_0}} + g_{i,k_0}$$

Otherwise there is number  $k_0$ , such that  $\eta_r = t_{i,k_0}^*$ , in this case we have

$$g_i(\eta_r) = g_{i,k_0}.$$

We do this procedure for all i,  $i = \overline{0, n}$ .

Note, that we use linear interpolation to simplify narrative. We can use any interpolation [3, 15, 16].

Now we have corteges put in the grids:

Then we do normalization of the grid nodes. For this we denote normalizing value of functions  $f_i$  at points  $\zeta_l$  by  $\varphi_{il}$ . Similarly, we denote normalizing value of functions  $g_i$  at the points  $\eta_r$  by  $\psi_{ir}$ . We have

$$\varphi_{il} = \frac{f_i(\zeta_l) - \min_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0,n]} f_i(\zeta_l)}{\max_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0,n]} f_i(\zeta_l) - \min_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0,n]} f_i(\zeta_l)}, \quad \psi_{ir} = \frac{g_i(\eta_r) - \min_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0,n]} g_i(\eta_r)}{\max_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0,n]} g_i(\eta_r) - \min_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0,n]} g_i(\eta_r)}$$

Finally, we have two data sets

$arphi_{00}$	$arphi_{01}$	 $arphi_{0m_{\zeta}}$	$\psi_{00}$	$\psi_{01}$	 $\psi_{0m_{\zeta}}$
$\varphi_{10}$	$\varphi_{11}$	 $arphi_{1m_{\zeta}}$	$\psi_{10}$	$\psi_{11}$	 $\psi_{1m_{\zeta}}$
•••		 			 ′′
$\varphi_{n0}$	$\varphi_{n1}$	 $\varphi_{nm_{\zeta}}$	$\psi_{n0}$	$\psi_{n1}$	 $\psi_{nm_{\zeta}}$

such that

$$\varphi_{il} \in [0,1], \quad \psi_{ir} \in [0,1].$$

Further, we will deal with two matrixes

$$\Phi = (\varphi_{il})_{i=0}^{n} {}_{l=0'}^{m_{\zeta}} \quad \Psi = (\psi_{ir})_{i=0}^{n} {}_{r=0'}^{m_{\eta}}.$$

Rows of matrix  $\Phi$  are normalized values of functions  $f_i$  at the grid nodes  $\zeta_l$ . Rows of matrix  $\Psi$  are normalized values of functions  $g_i$  at grid nodes  $\eta_r$ . The map  $\theta$  induces bijection between i-th row of  $\Phi$  and i-th row of  $\Psi$ .

### 4. Model parameters

We insert column of ones in the end of  $\Phi$  and denote obtained matrix by  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . Let *I* and *J* be multi-indexes. Let  $\Phi_{IJ}$  be matrix, that consists of I-th rows and J-th columns elements of  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . Let  $\Psi_I$  be matrix, that consists of I-th rows elements of  $\Psi$ . By  $\Psi_{Ir}$  denote r-th column of  $\Psi_I$ .

**Definition 1.** We shall say that, **partial regression problem** is overdetermined system of equations

$$\Phi_{II} \cdot \alpha = \Psi_{Ir}$$

Note. If that system is determined or underdetermined, then model is overtraining. In order that the above system to be overdetermined it is necessary to have inequality

$$\dim I > \dim I + 1$$
.

**Definition 2.** For partial regression problem we shall say, that **regression dimension** is dim *J*.

Let  $\varphi_i$  and  $\varphi_i$  be two preimage functions, those are expressed as tuple pairs  $\varphi_{il}$ ,  $\zeta_l$  and  $\varphi_{i\prime l}$ ,  $\zeta_l$ . By definition put

$$d(\varphi_{i},\varphi_{i'}) = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m_{\zeta}} \left(\frac{\varphi_{i,l}+\varphi_{i,l-1}}{2} - \frac{\varphi_{i',l}+\varphi_{i',l-1}}{2}\right)^{2} (\zeta_{l}-\zeta_{l-1})\right)^{1/2}.$$

**Definition 3.** The number  $d(\varphi_i, \varphi_{i'})$  is called **distance between functions**  $\varphi_i$  and  $\varphi_{i'}$ . For any given function  $\varphi_i$  we can create nondecreasing sequence of distances

$$d(\varphi_i,\varphi_{i_1}) \leq d(\varphi_i,\varphi_{i_2}) \leq \cdots \leq d(\varphi_i,\varphi_{i_{kNN}}) \leq \cdots \leq d(\varphi_i,\varphi_{i_{m_{\zeta}}}),$$

where *kNN* is number of nearest to  $\varphi_i$  functions.

**Definition 4.** We shall say that, **multi-index of the nearest neighbors** of  $\varphi_i$  is ordered set

$$I_{\varphi_i} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{rNN}\}.$$

For model training we will solve series of partial regression problems for multi-index of the nearest neighbors:

$$\Phi_{I_{\varphi_i}J} \cdot \alpha = \Psi_{I_{\varphi_i}r}$$

We number these problems by multi-index J. Therefor we must choose multi-index J iteration method.

**Definition 5**. One of these methods is **complete enumeration** of all multi-index with fixed length

$$J = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\dim J}\}.$$

The number of these multi-indexes is great. We can get it as

$$\binom{m_{\zeta}}{\dim J} = \frac{m_{\zeta}!}{(\dim J)! (m_{\zeta} - \dim J)!}$$

**Definition 6.** Another multi-index iteration method is **enumerating in the ring**  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . For this method dimension dim *J* must be devisor of  $m_{\zeta}$ . We choose multi-indexes by following rule

$$J = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\dim J}\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \mod\left(\frac{m_{\zeta}}{\dim J}\right) \\ 1 & \mod\left(\frac{m_{\zeta}}{\dim J}\right) \\ \dots & \dots \\ \left(\frac{m_{\zeta}}{\dim J} - 1\right) & \mod\left(\frac{m_{\zeta}}{\dim J}\right) \end{cases}$$

The number of these multi-indexes is  $\frac{m_{\zeta}}{\dim J}$  and not great.

There are other multi-index iteration methods.

Finally, we have following model parameters: 1) dimension of the partial regression problem dim J, 2) number of the preimage function nearest neighbors kNN, 3) multi-index iteration method.

### 5. Model training

**Step 0.** We fix following model parameters: *kNN*, dim *J*, and iteration method.

**Step 1.** We choose functions  $\varphi_i$  and  $\psi_i$  and create multi-index of the nearest neighbors

$$I_{\varphi_i} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{rNN}\}.$$

**Step 2.** We choose column  $\Psi_{Ir}$ .

**Step 3.** We choose multi-index *J*.

**Step 4.** We create partial regression problem with the multi-indexes  $I_{\varphi_i}$ , J and column  $\Psi_{lr}$ :

$$\Phi_{I_{\varphi_i}J} \cdot \alpha = \Psi_{Ir}$$

We solve this problem and get set of numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{\dim J}$  and  $\alpha_0$ . We create linear function with these numbers

$$\omega_{iJk}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\dim J}) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_0.$$

We substitute  $\varphi_{il_1}$ ,  $\varphi_{il_2}$ ,...,  $\varphi_{il_1}$  for  $\omega_{iJr}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{\dim J})$ . Number  $\omega_{iJr}(\varphi_{il_1}, \varphi_{il_2}, ..., \varphi_{il_1})$  is called predictive value of function  $\psi_i$  at r-th node of grid  $\eta$ 

 $\psi_{iJr}^{\text{pred}} = \omega_{iJr}(\varphi_{il_1}, \varphi_{il_2}, \dots, \varphi_{il_1}).$ 

This value depends on multi-index J.

**Go to step 3.** We choose new multi-index *J* according to iteration method and repeat step 4. We repeat step 4 for all multi-indexes *J*.

**Step 5.** We average of the multi-index J all predictive values  $\psi_{iJr}^{\text{pred}}$ . We get predictive value of function  $\psi_i$  at k-th node of grid  $\eta$ . This value doesn't depend on multi-index J. Denote this value by  $\psi_{ir}^{\text{pred}}$ . We calculate error of prediction value

$$x_{ir} = |\psi_{ir}^{\text{pred}} - \psi_{ir}|.$$

**Go to step 2.** We choose column  $\Psi_{Ir+1}$  and make steps from 3 to 5. We repeat step 2 for all columns of matrix  $\Psi$ .

**Go to step 1.** We choose function  $\varphi_{i+1}$  and make steps from 2 to 5. We repeat step 1 for all rows of the matrix  $\Phi$ .

**Step 6.** We average of *i* and *r* values of prediction errors  $\varepsilon_{ir}$ 

$$\varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{m_\eta + 1} \sum_{r=0}^{m_\eta} \varepsilon_{ir}, \qquad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_i.$$

This value  $\varepsilon$  is averaged predictive error value of model for giving set of parameters.

Go to step 0. We choose new values of model parameters and repeat all procedure. We repeat step 0 for all set of model parameters.

**Final of model training.** We find set of parameters with the smallest value of error  $\varepsilon$ . We call this set of parameters the optimal one. This is the finale of the model training.

### 6. Model application

Let we have a training model with the optimal parameters: 1) kNN, 2) dim J and 3) multiindex iteration method. Let we have preimage function f as tuple pairs  $f_j$ ,  $s_j$ , where  $f_j = f(s_i)$  and

$$s_0 = a$$
,  $s_p = b$ ,  $s_j < s_{j+1}$ ,  $j = 0, p$ .

We want to get predictive expression of image function  $g = \Theta(f)$  as tuple pairs  $g_k$ ,  $t_k$ , where  $g_k = g(t_k)$  and

$$t_0 = c$$
,  $t_a = d$ ,  $t_k < t_{k+1}$ ,  $k = \overline{0, q}$ .

We begin as we did on data preparing stage. We normalize tuple  $s_j$ , linearly interpolate the values  $f(\zeta_l)$  at nodes of grid  $\zeta$  and normalize values  $f(\zeta_l)$  with considering all values  $f_i(\zeta_l)$ . As result we get tuple  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, ..., \varphi_{m_{\zeta}}$ .

We create multi-index of nearest neighbors  $I_{\varphi}$ , then we solve partial regression problem for all multi-indexes J and columns  $\Psi_{I_{\varphi}r}$ . As result we calculate predictive values  $\psi_{Ir}^{\text{pred}}$ . These values we average of J and get predictive value  $\psi_r^{\text{pred}}$  of preimage function  $\psi$  at r-th node of grid  $\eta$  for ever r. We get cortege of image function predictive values  $\psi_0^{\text{pred}}, \psi_1^{\text{pred}}, \dots, \psi_{m_\eta}^{\text{pred}}$  on grid  $\eta$ .

After these calculations we do transformation, that is invers for normalization transformations. For values  $t_r$  we put

$$t_r = (d-c)\eta_r + c, \quad r = \overline{0, m_n}.$$

For values  $g_r$  we put

$$g_r = \left(\max_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0,n]} \psi_{ir} - \min_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0,n]} \psi_{ir}\right) \psi_r^{\text{pred}} + \min_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [0,n]} \psi_{ir}, \quad r = \overline{0, m_{\eta}}$$

These tuple pairs are expression of function g.

# References

- 1 Poggio T and Shelton C R 1999 AI Magazine 20 37-55
- 2 Bishop C M 2006 Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning (Berlin: Springer) p 738
- 3 Clarke B, Fokoue E and Zhang H 2009 Principles and Theory for Data Mining and Machine Learning (Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag) p 781
- 4 Prakasa Rao B L S 1984 Statist. Probab. Lett. 2(3) 139-142
- 5 Sieders A and Dzhaparidze K A 1987 Ann. Statist. 15(3) 1031-1049
- 6 Ivanov A V 2017 Theor. Probability and Math. Statist 95 99-108
- 7 Ivanov A V and Matsak I K 2019 Theor. Probability and Math. Statist 99 91-99
- 8 Dedieu J-P and Shub M 2000 Mathematics of Computation 69 1099-1115
- 9 Smale S and Zhou D 2003 Anal. Appl. 1 1-25
- 10 Barron A R 1994 Machine Learning 14 115-133
- 11 Cucker F and Smale S 2001 Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 39 1-49
- 12 Devore R A 1998 Acta Numer 7 51-150
- 13 Evgeniou T, Pontil M and Poggio T 2000 Adv. Comput. Math 13 1-50
- 14 Poggio T and Shelton C R 1999 AI Magazine 20 37-55
- 15 Micchelli C A 1986 Constr. Approx 2 11-22
- 16 Meinguet J 1979 Appl. Math. Phys 30 292-304