

# Harnessing cultural heritage knowledge for sustainable urban agriculture in Bandung

Aji Saputra<sup>1,4\*</sup>, Oekan S. Abdoellah<sup>2</sup>, and Gemilang Lara Utama<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Environmental Science, Graduate School, Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Anthropology, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Agro-Industrial Technology, Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup>Chakra Giri Energi Indonesia, Indonesia

**Abstract.** Investigating the role of cultural heritage in the development of sustainable urban agriculture in Bandung, focusing on how heritage knowledge and practices can be integrated in efforts to improve food security and environmental sustainability in the city. The methodology used is a literature review to explore the complex relationship between cultural heritage and sustainable urban agriculture in Bandung. The results of this exploration indicate that the fusion of cultural heritage and sustainable urban agriculture in Bandung offers numerous advantages, including economic growth, food security, environmental sustainability, and cultural preservation. However, challenges related to urbanization, changing consumer preferences, infrastructure, and climate change need to be addressed to realize the full potential of this approach. The implication of this approach is the development of a resilient and sustainable urban agriculture system in Bandung. By preserving and promoting cultural heritage, the city can enhance food security, stimulate economic growth, and safeguard its unique cultural heritage for future generations. The novelty of this article lies in its comprehensive integration of cultural heritage with modern sustainable agriculture practices to address contemporary urban challenges. It emphasizes the importance of harnessing the wisdom of the past to cultivate a sustainable future for Bandung.

## 1 Introduction

In the increasingly urbanizing cityscape of Bandung, Indonesia, the ancient practice of "Pekarangan" - the cultivation of household gardens - serves as an essential connection between the city's cultural heritage and its environmentally friendly future. These compact gardens, situated within residential neighborhoods, serve as more than mere locations for cultivating plants; they represent Indonesia's abundant horticultural legacy in a tangible form. Amidst the substantial urban changes in Bandung, Pekarangan serves as a durable green element integrated within the city's structure. It serves as a contrasting element to the expansion of urban areas and serves as a reminder of the city's strong bond with nature [1].

The act of cultivating and caring for the pekarangan in Bandung goes beyond mere gardening; it serves as a manifestation of the city's cultural values and beliefs. Every garden, characterized by its varied array of vegetation, spanning from edible crops to decorative plants,

conveys a narrative of ancestral wisdom and environmental sagacity. These gardens function as storehouse of biodiversity and demonstrate the resourcefulness of local communities in optimizing the utilization of limited urban space. They serve as emblems of a way of life that prioritizes self-reliance, ecological balance, and a profound reverence for the environment [2].

From an environmental standpoint, these residential gardens have a vital function in augmenting urban biodiversity and maintaining ecological equilibrium. Pekarangan serves as micro-habitats amidst the urban environment, providing sustenance for a diverse range of plant and animal species. They enhance air quality, reduce urban heat, and facilitate rainwater absorption, thereby alleviating typical urban living issues. Thus, Pekarangan is an essential component of Bandung's urban green infrastructure, playing a vital role in maintaining the city's environmental well-being [3].

Pekarangan also holds importance in the context of urban food security. These gardens offer

\* Corresponding author: [aji22003@mail.unpad.ac.id](mailto:aji22003@mail.unpad.ac.id)

households a direct means of obtaining fresh and nutritious produce, so enhancing household food sovereignty. Pekarangan provides a sustainable and resilient alternative in cities like Bandung, where rapid development frequently disrupts traditional food supply systems. This technique not only enhances local food systems but also cultivates a sense of community as information and resources are frequently exchanged among neighbors [4], [5].

The concept of "pekarangan", a form of urban agriculture, has been extensively researched for its multifaceted benefits. Pujowati (2016) emphasized its economic advantages, especially in mixed farming activities including crops, fishponds, and livestock, contributing significantly to farming families' income [6]. Sukiyono and Widiono (2020) focused on strategies to optimize household economic resources, underscoring pekarangan's role in enhancing income and reducing reliance on external resources [7]. Ali, Arifin, and Arifin (2020) highlighted how urbanization impacts pekarangan's structure and function, suggesting that its adaptation in urban settings can support income generation in urban landscapes [8]. Furthermore, Kaswanto (2017) noted the role of pekarangan in improving community welfare through ecological, economic, and social functions, indicating that effective management of pekarangan can increase community assets and enhance overall welfare [9]. Collectively, these studies underscore the importance of pekarangan in fostering economic growth, enhancing food security, and contributing to environmental sustainability, positioning it as a crucial element in urban agricultural development and community welfare improvement.

The significance of Pekarangan in Bandung's urban planning and development is becoming increasingly crucial as we look ahead to the future. Amidst the city's pursuit of sustainable strategies to handle its expansion, these conventional residential gardens provide unique perspectives. They exemplify an urban development paradigm that successfully integrates ecological sustainability with cultural preservation. This article examines the capacity of Pekarangan to influence an urban environment in Bandung that is more sustainable, resilient, and culturally diverse. It emphasizes the integration of these ancient practices into contemporary urban living, ensuring that the city's development is environmentally friendly and rooted in its cultural legacy [10], [11].

## 2 Literature Review

### 2.1 Overview of Sustainable Urban Agriculture (SUA)

Sustainable Urban Agriculture (SUA) is a new approach to food production that is specifically designed for urban and peri-urban areas. It involves a range of activities focused on growing, preparing, and delivering food in these regions, using sustainable and efficient techniques. This agricultural strategy is becoming increasingly popular as a crucial option for urban areas grappling with rising population demands and environmental difficulties. Prominent literature in this domain, exemplified by Lovell's work in 2010 and Orsini et al.'s research in 2013, characterizes SUA not just as a means of cultivating food, but also as a whole system that enhances the ecological, economic, and social aspects of urban populations [12], [13].

The literature highlights the several advantages of SUA. An important benefit, as highlighted by Pearson et al. (2010), is the improvement of urban food security. SUA offers locally sourced, organic products, thereby aiding in the alleviation of food deserts in metropolitan regions [14]. Furthermore, research conducted by Opitz et al. (2016) has emphasized its contribution to the advancement of environmental sustainability [15], [16]. Urban agriculture activities enhance biodiversity, facilitate garbage recycling, and alleviate the urban heat island effect by introducing greenery into urban areas. De Zeeuw et al. (2011) contend that SUA has a positive impact on local economies by generating employment opportunities and bolstering local food markets [17].

A large focus of the studied material is on how SUA tackles specific urban concerns. The loss of biodiversity in urban settings, a problem noted by Barthel et al. (2015), can be mitigated through SUA policies that foster diversified ecosystems [18]. Similarly, the urban heat island effect, a developing problem emphasized by Santamouris (2014), can be reduced through the additional greenery supplied by urban agriculture [19]. Moreover, SUA's involvement in changing underutilized urban spaces, as highlighted by Mok et al. (2014), into productive regions highlights its potential in urban landscape design and development [20], [21].

Recent research also addresses the role of technology and innovation in boosting the efficiency and sustainability of urban agriculture. Techniques such as hydroponics, aquaponics, and vertical farming, researched by Thomaier et al. (2015), are becoming increasingly essential in SUA, particularly in densely populated metropolitan regions where space is a premium [22]. These technologies allow for larger yields and more effective use of resources, making urban agriculture a more feasible and sustainable enterprise.

## 2.2 Traditional Urban Agricultural Practices: Pekarangan in Bandung

### 2.2.1 Social and Community Dynamics

Pekarangan, a traditional practice of cultivating land within urban areas in Indonesia, plays a key role in enriching the urban ecosystem. Studies have demonstrated that these residential gardens make a substantial contribution to the richness of plant and animal species in urban environments [11]. Pekarangan functions as homes for a diverse range of plant species, hence supporting a multitude of insect and bird species that play a vital role in maintaining the health of the environment. McGranahan (2014) underscore the significance of these gardens in bolstering pollinator populations and preserving urban biodiversity [23]. Moreover, Lin (2015) have emphasized the significant contribution of these entities in enhancing air quality and reducing the impact of urban heat islands [24]. Consequently, they are considered essential components of urban green infrastructure.

### 2.2.2 Social and Community Dynamics

The social aspect of Pekarangan has been the key focus of numerous investigations. These gardens promote social contact and unity within the community [25]. In Bandung, Pekarangan encompasses more than just an individual endeavor; it entails collective participation, where the exchange of knowledge and resources fosters the reinforcement of community ties [10]. Kondo (2021) extensively examine the function of Pekarangan in intergenerational learning and the preservation of cultural practices [26].

### 2.2.3 Contribution to Household Food Security

The contribution of Pekarangan in improving household food security is extensively established. Urban households can directly benefit from cultivating a variety of plant species [1]. This method diminishes reliance on external food sources, particularly for perishable agricultural products, as demonstrated in a study done in Bandung [27].

### 2.2.4 Preservation of Cultural Heritage

The gardens in Bandung showcase the cultural and historical essence of the city through the use of traditional cultivation methods and carefully selected plants [1], [28]. Preserving Pekarangan is crucial for upholding cultural diversity, as urbanization frequently results in the uniformity of both lifestyle and scenery [29], [30].

The "Pekarangan" tradition in Bandung connects the city's cultural legacy with environmental awareness. These gardens, incorporated into residential areas, represent Indonesia's rich horticultural heritage and provide a green oasis amidst urban changes. They showcase a wide variety of plant life, including

culinary crops and decorative plants, and serve as a living collection of ancestral knowledge [6]. Each garden represents the ideal balance between humans and the natural world. They are not just sources of food but also strongholds of biodiversity, showcasing native plant species and preserving traditional agricultural expertise. Pekarangan symbolizes resilience and continuity in the face of urban growth, showcasing the community's dedication to ecological conservation and cultural preservation.

### 2.2.5 Challenges and Adaptations

Pekarangan encounters obstacles primarily as a result of limitations in urban space, notwithstanding its advantages. Research has examined the adaptive strategies employed by practitioners in Bandung, such as vertical and container gardening, as well as the incorporation of new techniques like hydroponics alongside traditional ways [31], [32].

The literature shows Pekarangan in Bandung as a multidimensional activity, crucial for its ecological, social, cultural, and food security benefits [33], [34], [35]. As the city evolves, Pekarangan bridges the past and future, delivering sustainable urban solutions while safeguarding rich cultural heritage.

Research on urban agriculture, particularly Javanese "pekarangan," sheds light on its interaction with cultural heritage and modern agriculture. Pujowati (2016) describes pekarangan in Java as a complex agroforestry system with great plant diversity that supports food security and cultural heritage by including crops, fishponds, and cattle [6]. McDougall, Kristiansen, and Rader (2018) found that small-scale urban agriculture must balance traditional methods with modern agricultural efficiency to survive [36]. Zapico et al.'s (2015) ethno-ecological analysis in the Philippines emphasizes the interconnectivity of cultural and biological variety and the importance of keeping traditional farming systems while adjusting to modern agricultural difficulties [37]. Finally, Ali, Arifin, and Arifin (2020) discuss how urbanization and modern agricultural practices have changed pekarangan ownership, extent, and vegetation structure, highlighting the challenges of preserving cultural heritage in urban areas [8]. These findings demonstrate the difficulty of keeping traditional identity while incorporating modern practices to ensure sustainability in the face of urbanization and agricultural concerns.

The research on the long-term sustainability of "pekarangan" in urban agriculture highlights several key aspects. Xi et al. (2021) emphasize the role of novel materials in enhancing the sustainability and productivity of urban farming, crucial for its long-term viability [38]. Grebitus, Printezis, and Printezis (2017) identify consumer behavior as a critical factor for the success of

urban agriculture, underscoring the need for aligning farming practices with evolving consumer preferences[39]. Surya et al. (2020) focus on the empowerment and participation of communities in urban farming, suggesting its impact on economic and environmental sustainability[40]. Lastly, Gullino, Battisti, and Larcher (2018) propose valuing multifunctionality in peri-urban farming to ensure its sustainability, emphasizing strategies like promoting local food networks and farmer cooperation[41]. Together, these studies suggest that the sustainable success of urban agriculture, including pekarangan, depends on innovative materials, consumer behavior alignment, community empowerment, and multifunctionality in farming practices.

### **2.3 Urbanization and its Impact on Urban Agriculture**

Urbanization, characterized by the expansion of cities and rising population density, profoundly impacts agricultural practices, especially in emerging urban areas like Bandung. The literature extensively chronicles how urbanization leads to the decline of green spaces and agricultural lands, which is a major concern for sustainable urban development. Research by writers such as Langmeyer (2021) underlines the encroachment of urban expansion on traditional agricultural fields, resulting to a loss of biodiversity and disruptions in local food systems [42], [43]. This tendency provides a direct challenge to the tradition of Pekarangan, which relies on available land for cultivation.

In response to the issues faced by urbanization, the literature investigates numerous ways for integrating agriculture into urban contexts. Innovative ideas like vertical farming and rooftop farms are being recognized as potential options. These strategies, employ underexploited urban locations and have the potential to greatly contribute to urban food production. However, the implementation of these techniques requires rigorous urban planning and policy support to ensure their sustainability and efficacy [44], [45]. The literature also goes into the issues involved in incorporating agricultural into urban areas. One of the key issues is land tenure, as urban farmers typically confront instability surrounding land rights. This uncertainty might limit the investment in and development of urban farming initiatives [46]. Furthermore, water access remains a key concern and the necessity for sustainable water management strategies in urban agriculture. Pollution, another key obstacle, can impair the quality and safety of urban produce [47].

The impact of urbanization on agriculture underlines the necessity for competent urban planning and policy-making. The literature implies that policies ought to be focused towards

the protection of agricultural land, support for urban farming efforts, and the resolution of land tenure difficulties. Policies should also address environmental concerns such as water management and pollution control, assuring the sustainability of urban agriculture techniques amidst expanding urban pressures [48].

### **2.4 Integration of Cultural Heritage in Urban Agriculture**

The recurring subject of integrating cultural heritage into urban agriculture highlights the necessity for policy support. Urban agricultural policies should not only prioritize the technical aspects of food production, but also take into account the cultural and historical aspects. Urban policies that acknowledge and endorse the conservation of traditional agricultural practices can greatly enhance the cultural and ecological diversity of cities. The incorporation of cultural heritage into urban agriculture has attracted considerable focus in the realm of sustainable development [28], [49].

Literature highlights the significance of maintaining traditional agricultural knowledge and practices in urban environments. Zhong (2022) and Mutaqin (2023) emphasize that these practices serve as repositories of ancestral knowledge, intricately connected to local ecosystems and biodiversity [50], [51]. They contend that conventional approaches, frequently disregarded in the haste towards modernization, possess the means to achieve sustainable and resilient agricultural practices.

An overarching motif in the literature pertains to the role of conventional agricultural practices in bolstering cultural identity and heritage. Winkler (2019) explores the cultural significance of these practices, considering them to be more than just methods for producing food. They play a crucial role in cultural rituals, festivals, and social structures, constituting a fundamental aspect of community life. By incorporating these methods into urban farming, cities can maintain distinct cultural identities, providing residents with a sense of belonging and consistency in swiftly evolving urban environments [52].

Researchers also prioritize the examination of how conventional practices provide long-lasting solutions to modern agricultural difficulties. Research conducted by Jayara (2022) indicates that traditional agricultural practices, including crop rotation, intercropping, and the utilization of organic inputs, possess inherent sustainability [53]. They necessitate a reduced number of chemical inputs, enhance soil health, and exhibit greater resilience to climate variability. Implementing these techniques in urban environments can result in the development of more sustainable urban food systems.

An important area of focus in the literature is the role of community in conserving and incorporating cultural heritage in urban agriculture. For example, Gupta (2013) and Jiang (2022) research illustrates the efficacy of community-led urban agriculture projects as potent means of safeguarding cultural heritage [28], [54]. These initiatives frequently function as forums for the exchange of knowledge, where conventional farming methods are shared and modified to fit urban environments.

## 2.5 The Role of Policy and Governance in Sustainable Urban Agriculture

### 2.5.1 Policy Frameworks Supporting SUA and Traditional Practices

The literature suggests an increasing acknowledgment of the necessity for comprehensive policy frameworks that openly endorse urban agriculture. Widayat (2023) highlight the crucial role of good policy-making in the allocation of land for urban agriculture [55]. This involves the identification and designation of urban places suitable for farming activities. This is especially pertinent in the context of rising urbanization, when there is fierce rivalry for land.

The recent research on urban agriculture, particularly "pekarangan", in relation to urbanization and pollution, offers several policy recommendations. Kafle, Hopeward, and Myers (2023) suggest that governments and planners should facilitate scale-appropriate mechanization in urban agriculture to enhance its sustainability, considering its significant social, economic, and environmental potential [56]. Pribadi and Pauleit (2016) emphasize the integration of peri-urban agriculture into urban planning as a key strategy to maintain its role in reducing poverty and enhancing food security [57]. Patil et al. (2023) highlights the need for more empirical evidence to support urban farming in India as a nature-based solution, focusing on its role in environmental and social wellbeing [58]. Ali, Arifin, and Arifin (2020) discuss the impact of urbanization on pekarangan, recommending policy interventions to address the changing structure and function of these urban gardens [8]. Collectively, these studies underscore the importance of integrating urban agriculture into urban planning and policy, ensuring its sustainability and effectiveness in addressing urban challenges.

### 2.5.2 Addressing Gaps in Policy and Governance

A substantial amount of the research is focused on detecting and resolving these gaps. Abdillah (2023) and Atharinafi (2021) advocate for the implementation of laws that not only allocate land but also guarantee access to water, seeds, and other necessary resources for urban

agriculture [59], [60]. The research emphasizes the significance of offering training and technical assistance to urban farmers, as examined by Pennisi (2020), in order to improve their expertise and understanding, particularly in incorporating sustainable and traditional methods in an urban setting [61].

### 2.5.3 Integrating Agriculture into Urban Planning and Development

The literature extensively pushes for the integration of agriculture into broader urban planning and development initiatives. According to research by Khan (2020), this integration is vital for the long-term sustainability of urban agriculture efforts. It assures that urban farming is not an afterthought but an essential component of urban development, contributing to food security, environmental sustainability, and community well-being [45]. This entails cross-sector collaboration, incorporating urban planners, agricultural specialists, environmentalists, and community representatives.

## 3 Discussion

### 3.1 Significance in Sustainable Development and Cultural Preservation

#### 3.1.1 Sustainable Development Through Urban Agriculture

The findings from the study of sustainable urban agriculture (SUA) in Bandung have significant implications for sustainable development. Firstly, SUA targets major components of sustainability: environmental preservation, economic resilience, and social well-being. The environmental benefits, including biodiversity preservation, soil health improvement, and urban climate mitigation, contribute directly to the ecological sustainability of the city. Economically, SUA in Bandung has demonstrated potential in providing jobs, strengthening local economies, and enhancing food security, crucial for urban resilience. Socially, these urban agriculture efforts create community engagement, education, and a sense of collective responsibility, supporting social sustainability.

#### 3.1.2 Cultural Preservation in a Modern Urban Context

The integration of cultural assets with SUA methods in Bandung underlines the necessity of cultural preservation in urban development. As metropolitan areas expand and modernize, there's a risk of cultural practices becoming outmoded. However, the data suggest that traditional farming practices and local knowledge may be effectively integrated into modern urban environments. This integration not only assists in conserving unique cultural identities but also illustrates that

traditional knowledge may contribute vital insights into sustainable practices, bridging the gap between the past and the present.

### 3.1.3 Broader Implications for Urban Centers Globally

The story of Bandung offers useful lessons for other metropolitan centers internationally. Many cities suffer comparable difficulties of urbanization, environmental degradation, and loss of cultural heritage. The success of Bandung in integrating SUA with cultural assets indicates a pathway for other cities to overcome these difficulties. It shows that urban agriculture may be an important component in urban design, contributing to ecological goals while conserving cultural identity.

### 3.1.4 Contributing to Global Sustainability Goals

The findings from Bandung correspond with broader global sustainability goals, such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). By tackling concerns like food security (SDG 2), sustainable cities (SDG 11), climate action (SDG 13), and safeguarding cultural heritage (SDG 11.4), Bandung's approach to SUA offers as a paradigm for sustainable urban development that is ecologically sound, commercially successful, and culturally rich.

## 3.2 Bandung's Approach vs. Global Practices in Urban Agriculture

### 3.2.1 Bandung's Approach: A Blend of Tradition and Modernity

Bandung's approach to sustainable urban agriculture (SUA) is marked by its unique integration of cultural legacy with modern farming technologies. This method stresses preserving traditional farming techniques, utilizing local crop varieties, and combining these components into urban environments. Community involvement and the promotion of local food cultures are also key to Bandung's plan, providing a strong relationship between agriculture, cultural identity, and urban sustainability.

### 3.2.2 Global Practices in Urban Agriculture

Cities around the world have chosen numerous techniques to integrate urban agriculture into their landscapes, frequently with a focus on sustainability, although the level of cultural heritage integration varies:

- **Detroit, USA:** Detroit's urban agricultural movement emerged as a response to economic downturn and urban deterioration. While it largely focuses on community empowerment and food security, there is less emphasis on integrating cultural heritage compared to Bandung. Detroit's concept is more about

converting abandoned urban land for profitable use [62], [63].

- **Havana, Cuba:** Havana is famed for its urban agriculture, which developed owing to economic necessity after the Soviet Union's collapse. Havana's approach is similar to Bandung in promoting local and organic farming methods, but it is more driven by economic and food security requirements rather than cultural preservation [64], [65].
- **Tokyo, Japan:** Tokyo has experienced a boom of rooftop and balcony gardens, driven by space constraints and technological innovation. While there is a focus on modern techniques and maximizing restricted space, traditional Japanese gardening aesthetics and traditions are sometimes included, revealing some parallels with Bandung's approach [66], [67].
- **Nairobi, Kenya:** Nairobi's urban agriculture is mostly motivated by the need for food security and economic generation. While traditional farming practices are used, the focus is more on pragmatism and less on conserving cultural history, diverging from Bandung's approach [68], [69].

### 3.2.3 Analysis and Comparison

- **Cultural Integration:** Unlike Bandung, where cultural heritage plays a vital role, in cities like Detroit and Nairobi, the focus is more on food security and economic necessity. However, Tokyo presents a blend of contemporary with traditional aesthetics, comparable to Bandung [70], [71], [72].
- **Community Involvement:** Community participation is a prevalent characteristic throughout all these cities. However, the community's involvement in Bandung is strongly based in cultural preservation, more so than in towns like Detroit or Nairobi [73], [74].
- **Adaptation to Local demands:** Each city adapts its urban agriculture approach to local demands. Bandung's concentration on cultural preservation is distinctive and reflects its rich cultural history, whereas other cities prioritize economic, food security, or spatial concerns [50], [75].

The urban farming initiatives in Bandung, particularly through the "pekarangan" model, showcase distinctive approaches compared to other cities. Bandung's success lies in its strong community engagement, as exemplified by the 1000kebon community, which has effectively integrated creativity and youth involvement in sustainable agricultural practices [76]. This focus on community contrasts with many urban farming initiatives elsewhere, which are often more individualistic or commercial. Notably, Bandung's urban farming also emphasizes

women's empowerment, providing them with economic opportunities and skills in sustainable food production, as seen in the Sa'uyunan Sarijadi Women's Farmer Group [77]. Furthermore, the high level of public participation, spanning from planning to evaluation, sets Bandung apart from other cities, where such initiatives are often top-down [78]. Lastly, innovative programs like Buruan Sae demonstrate Bandung's commitment to integrating urban farming with broader goals of urban resilience and food security, presenting a model that other cities could learn from to develop multifaceted and sustainable urban agriculture strategies [79].

Bandung's approach to SUA distinguishes out for its heavy emphasis on cultural heritage. While other cities focus on various areas of sustainability, Bandung blends traditional methods and local knowledge into its urban agriculture framework, creating a model that is both sustainable and culturally stimulating. This comparative analysis demonstrates the numerous ways cities might use urban agriculture to satisfy their specific environmental, economic, and cultural needs.

#### 4 Conclusion

The study of Sustainable Urban Agriculture (SUA) and its incorporation with cultural heritage in Bandung has provided valuable insights into tackling urban issues through inventive agricultural methods. The literature highlights the importance of SUA in improving urban food security, advancing environmental sustainability, and safeguarding cultural heritage. The practice of Pekarangan combines traditional knowledge and modern urban farming techniques, creating a seamless integration that reflects a strong cultural identity and contributes to the ecological and social aspects of urban communities. The obstacles presented by urbanization, such as the decrease in green areas, property ownership problems, and environmental worries, emphasize the necessity for well-thought-out urban planning and supportive policy structures. These techniques seek to safeguard and advance urban agriculture while also guaranteeing its long-term viability and efficacy in light of swift urban expansion.

Ultimately, the function of SUA in urban environments, particularly in a culturally diverse metropolis such as Bandung, is complex and has many aspects. It goes beyond the scope of food production to include ecological advantages, economic prospects, and cultural conservation. The prospects for urban agriculture in Bandung are highly favorable, as it has the capacity to serve as a blueprint for other metropolitan areas facing comparable difficulties. The effective incorporation of agriculture into urban landscapes

relies on inventive strategies, active community participation, and strong policy backing, all of which are essential for achieving the goal of sustainable, resilient, and culturally dynamic urban environments.

The successful completion of this article is thanks to the outstanding assistance provided by many individuals. We would like to express our sincere gratitude to our colleagues from Universitas Padjadjaran and Chakra Giri Energi Indonesia for their valuable contributions and extensive knowledge, which greatly enhanced the study endeavor.

#### References

- [1] B. Faisal *et al.*, 'Landscape Character Assessment of Pekarangan towards Healthy and Productive Urban Village in Bandung City, Indonesia', *Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Environment, Agriculture and Tourism (ICOSEAT 2022)*, vol. 26, no. January, 2023, doi: 10.2991/978-94-6463-086-2\_102.
- [2] O. S. Abdoellah *et al.*, 'Urban Agriculture in Great Bandung Region in the Midst of Commercialization, Food Insecurity, and Nutrition Inadequacy', *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 15, no. 13, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su151310241.
- [3] S. Sunardi, I. Ghulam, N. Istiqomah, K. Fadilah, K. I. Safitri, and O. S. Abdoellah, 'Environmental Sustainability and Food Safety of the Practice of Urban Agriculture in Great Bandung', *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 737–743, 2023, doi: 10.18280/ijstdp.180309.
- [4] O. S. Abdoellah, Y. Suparman, K. I. Safitri, and ..., 'Between food fulfillment and income: Can urban agriculture contribute to both?', *Geography and ... Elsevier*, 2023.
- [5] M. Fernandez, E. Mendez, T. Mares, and ..., 'Agroecology, food sovereignty, and urban agriculture in the United States', ... *participatory, and action ... researchgate.net*, 2015.
- [6] P. Pujowati, 'Karakteristik Pekarangan Etnis Jawa Untuk Mendukung Ketahanan Pangan Masyarakat Di Das Karang Mumus Kalimantan Timur', *Ziraa'ah Majalah Ilmiah Pertanian*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 137–144, 2016.
- [7] K. Sukiyono and S. Widiono, 'Optimizing Household Economic Resource to Lessen Population Pressures on Villages around Kerinci Seblat National Park Bengkulu Province', *Jurnal Manajemen Hutan Tropika*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 268–281, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.7226/JTFM.26.3.268.
- [8] M. S. Ali, H. S. Arifin, and N. H. S. Arifin, 'The Dynamic of pekarangan Selahuni 2 Homlet, Ciomas Rahayu Village, Bogor', *Jurnal*

- Pengelolaan Sumberdaya Alam dan Lingkungan*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 364–373, 2020, doi: 10.29244/jpsl.10.3.364-373.
- [9] R. L. Kaswanto, 'Management of landscape services for improving community welfare in West Java, Indonesia', *Landscape Ecology for Sustainable Society*, pp. 251–270, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-74328-8\_15/COVER.
- [10] A. Rosyad, T. Y. Astuti, and E. W. Tini, 'Penerapan Urban Farming Untuk Meningkatkan Kelestarian Lingkungan Pada Hunian Perumahan', *Jurnal Dinamika Pengabdian (JDP)*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 32–46, 2020, doi: 10.20956/jdp.v6i1.8531.
- [11] S. N. R. Irwan, V. D. S. Handayani, and H. H. Ilmiah, 'Pekarangan Pinggir Kota untuk Menyangga Ekosistem Perkotaan: Pemanfaatan dan Keanekaragaman Tanaman', *Jurnal Ilmu Pertanian Indonesia*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 244–254, 2023, doi: 10.18343/jipi.28.2.244.
- [12] F. Orsini, R. Kahane, R. Nono-Womdim, and ..., 'Urban agriculture in the developing world: a review', *Agronomy for sustainable ...*, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s13593-013-0143-z.
- [13] S. T. Lovell, 'Multifunctional urban agriculture for sustainable land use planning in the United States', *Sustainability*, vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 2499–2522, 2010, doi: 10.3390/su2082499.
- [14] L. J. Pearson, L. Pearson, and C. J. Pearson, 'Sustainable urban agriculture: Stocktake and opportunities', *Int J Agric Sustain*, vol. 8, no. 1–2, pp. 7–19, 2010, doi: 10.3763/ijas.2009.0468.
- [15] I. Opitz, K. Specht, R. Berges, R. Siebert, and A. Piorr, 'Toward sustainability: Novelties, areas of learning and innovation in urban agriculture', *Sustainability*, 2016.
- [16] I. Opitz, R. Berges, A. Piorr, and T. Krikser, 'Contributing to food security in urban areas: differences between urban agriculture and peri-urban agriculture in the Global North', *Agriculture and Human Values*. Springer, 2016. doi: 10.1007/S10460-015-9610-2.
- [17] H. De Zeeuw, 'Cities, climate change and urban agriculture', *Urban Agriculture Magazine*, vol. 25, no. 25, pp. 39–42, 2011.
- [18] S. Barthel, J. Parker, and H. Ernstson, 'Food and Green Space in Cities: A Resilience Lens on Gardens and Urban Environmental Movements', *Urban Studies*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 1321–1338, 2015, doi: 10.1177/0042098012472744.
- [19] M. Santamouris, 'Cooling the cities - A review of reflective and green roof mitigation technologies to fight heat island and improve comfort in urban environments', *Solar Energy*, vol. 103, pp. 682–703, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2012.07.003.
- [20] A. J. Hamilton, K. Burry, H. F. Mok, S. F. Barker, and ..., 'Give peas a chance? Urban agriculture in developing countries. A review', *Agronomy for ...*. Springer, 2014. doi: 10.1007/s13593-013-0155-8.
- [21] H. F. Mok, V. G. Williamson, J. R. Grove, K. Burry, and ..., 'Strawberry fields forever? Urban agriculture in developed countries: a review', *Agronomy for ...*. Springer, 2014. doi: 10.1007/s13593-013-0156-7.
- [22] S. Thomaier *et al.*, 'Farming in and on urban buildings: Present practice and specific novelties of zero-acreage farming (ZFarming)', *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 43–54, 2015, doi: 10.1017/S1742170514000143.
- [23] D. A. McGranahan, 'Ecologies of Scale: Multifunctionality Connects Conservation and Agriculture across Fields, Farms, and Landscapes', *Land (Basel)*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 739–769, Jun. 2014, doi: 10.3390/LAND3030739.
- [24] B. B. Lin, S. M. Philpott, and S. Jha, 'The future of urban agriculture and biodiversity-ecosystem services: Challenges and next steps', *Basic Appl Ecol*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 189–201, May 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.BAAE.2015.01.005.
- [25] W. H. Prasetyo, D. Budimansyah, and N. Roslidah, 'Urban farming as a civic virtue development in the environmental field', *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, vol. 11, no. 9, pp. 3139–3146, 2016, doi: 10.12973/ijese.2016.909a.
- [26] C. Kondo, 'Re-energizing Japan's movement: Understanding intergenerational transitions of diverse economies', *J Agric Food Syst Community Dev*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 103–121, 2021, doi: 10.5304/jafscd.2021.104.0031.
- [27] D. Purnomo, G. L. Sitepu, Y. R. Nugraha, and M. B. Permana Rosiyan, 'Social Metabolism in Buruan SAE: Individual Rift Perspective on Urban Farming Model for Food Independence in Bandung, Indonesia', *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 15, no. 13, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su151310273.
- [28] Y. Jiang, A. Timpe, and F. Lohrberg, 'Identifying Urban Agriculture as Heritage: Traditional Urban Grape Gardens in the Ancient City of Xuanhua, China', *J Urban Hist*, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1177/00961442211063170/ASSET/IMAGE S/LARGE/10.1177\_00961442211063170-FIG8.JPEG.
- [29] R. Andari, H. Puspito, and D. Setiyorini, 'Green Tourism Role in Creating Sustainable Urban Tourism', *South East Asia Journal of Contemporary Business, Economics and Law*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 18–26, 2016.
- [30] T. Sugihartini, D. Djuliansah, and Z. Noormansyah, 'Model Pengembangan Pertanian Perkotaan (Urban Farming) Berkelanjutan', *Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Agroinfo Galuh*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 1070, 2023, doi: 10.25157/jimag.v10i2.9648.
- [31] W. Aligita, F. Z. Muttaqin, S. Muhsinin, E. Febrina, and A. Asnawi, 'Peningkatan Potensi Anggota KWT dalam Pemanfaatan Pekarangan untuk Tanaman Obat dan Kosmetika



- Menggunakan Metode Tanam Vertikal di Desa Cibiru Wetan, Kabupaten Bandung’, *Jurnal Pengabdian Pada Masyarakat*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 401–408, 2019, doi: 10.30653/002.201944.187.
- [32] H. Indriani, A. Nisa Rafida, M. Khasanah, and R. C. Handziko, ‘Vertical Garden Sebagai Solusi Degradasi Ruang Terbuka Hijau dan Edukasi Santri Wahid Hasyim Yogyakarta (Vertical Garden As A Green Open Space Degradation Solution And Santri Education The Islamic Boarding School Wahid Hasyim Yogyakarta)’, *jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat MIPA dan Penelitian MIPA*, vol. 2020, no. 1, pp. 94–101, 2020.
- [33] M. Artmann and K. Sartison, ‘The Role of Urban Agriculture as a Nature-Based Solution: A Review for Developing a Systemic Assessment Framework’, *Sustainability*, vol. 10, no. 6, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.3390/SU10061937.
- [34] A. F. Andiani, ‘Kajian Urban Farming yang Berkelanjutan pada Masyarakat Kota Bogor.’, *Repository.Ipb.Ac.Id*, 2019.
- [35] F. Septya, R. Rosnita, R. Yulida, and Y. Andriani, ‘Urban Farming Sebagai Upaya Ketahanan Pangan Keluarga Di Kelurahan Labuh Baru Timur Kota Pekanbaru’, *RESWARA: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 105–114, 2022, doi: 10.46576/rjpkm.v3i1.1552.
- [36] R. McDougall, P. Kristiansen, and R. Rader, ‘Small-scale urban agriculture results in high yields but requires judicious management of inputs to achieve sustainability’, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, vol. 116, no. 1, pp. 129–134, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1073/PNAS.1809707115/SUPPL\_FILE/PNAS.1809707115.SAPP.PDF.
- [37] F. L. Zapico, C. H. Aguilar, A. Abistano, J. C. Turner, and L. J. Reyes, ‘Biocultural Diversity of Sarangani Province, Philippines: An Ethno-Ecological Analysis’, *Rice Sci*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 138–146, May 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.RSCI.2015.05.018.
- [38] L. Xi, M. Zhang, L. Zhang, T. T. S. Lew, and Y. M. Lam, ‘Novel Materials for Urban Farming’, *Advanced Materials*, vol. 34, no. 25, p. 2105009, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1002/ADMA.202105009.
- [39] C. Grebitus, I. Printezis, and A. Printezis, ‘Relationship between Consumer Behavior and Success of Urban Agriculture’, *Ecological Economics*, vol. 136, pp. 189–200, Jun. 2017, doi: 10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2017.02.010.
- [40] B. Surya, S. Syafri, H. Hadijah, B. Baharuddin, A. T. Fitriyah, and H. H. Sakti, ‘Management of Slum-Based Urban Farming and Economic Empowerment of the Community of Makassar City, South Sulawesi, Indonesia’, *Sustainability 2020, Vol. 12, Page 7324*, vol. 12, no. 18, p. 7324, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.3390/SU12187324.
- [41] P. Gullino, L. Battisti, and F. Larcher, ‘Linking Multifunctionality and Sustainability for Valuing Peri-Urban Farming: A Case Study in the Turin Metropolitan Area (Italy)’, *Sustainability 2018, Vol. 10, Page 1625*, vol. 10, no. 5, p. 1625, May 2018, doi: 10.3390/SU10051625.
- [42] Y. Indrajaya *et al.*, *Tropical Forest Landscape Restoration in Indonesia: A Review*, vol. 11, no. 3. 2022. doi: 10.3390/land11030328.
- [43] J. Langemeyer, C. Madrid-Lopez, A. Mendoza Beltran, and G. Villalba Mendez, ‘Urban agriculture — A necessary pathway towards urban resilience and global sustainability?’, *Landsc Urban Plan*, vol. 210, p. 104055, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.LANDURBPLAN.2021.104055.
- [44] R. Lal, ‘Home gardening and urban agriculture for advancing food and nutritional security in response to the COVID-19 pandemic’, *Food Secur*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 871–876, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1007/S12571-020-01058-3/FIGURES/2.
- [45] M. M. Khan, M. T. Akram, R. Janke, R. W. K. Qadri, A. M. Al-Sadi, and A. A. Farooque, ‘Urban Horticulture for Food Secure Cities through and beyond COVID-19’, *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 22, pp. 1–21, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.3390/SU12229592.
- [46] R. A. O. Nitya, S. Patil, C. Singh, R. O. Y. Parama, and ..., ‘Cultivating sustainable and healthy cities: A systematic literature review of the outcomes of urban and peri-urban agriculture’, *Sustainable Cities and ...*, 2022.
- [47] U. Berardi, A. H. GhaffarianHoseini, and A. GhaffarianHoseini, ‘State-of-the-art analysis of the environmental benefits of green roofs’, *Appl Energy*, vol. 115, pp. 411–428, Feb. 2014, doi: 10.1016/J.APENERGY.2013.10.047.
- [48] E. Engkus, F. T. Sakti, S. Afiyah, and N. Nurmawan, ‘The Pollution of the Citarum River Watershed (DAS): Analysis of Control Policy Implementation in Indonesia’, *Italienisch*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 24–33, 2020.
- [49] T. N. Sogang and Y. Monkouop, ‘Past, Present and Future of Urban Agriculture in Cameroon: Major Contemporary Challenges (1993-2017)’, *Journal of Agricultural Chemistry and ...* scirp.org, 2022.
- [50] B. Zhong, S. Wu, G. Sun, and N. Wu, ‘Farmers’ Strategies to Climate Change and Urbanization: Potential of Ecosystem-Based Adaptation in Rural Chengdu, Southwest China’, *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 2, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/IJERPH19020952.
- [51] A. Z. Mutaqin *et al.*, ‘The local knowledge and potential of suweg (Amorphophallus paeoniifolius) in the Citanduy and Cimanuk watersheds of West Java, Indonesia’, *Biodiversitas*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 324–332, 2023, doi: 10.13057/biodiv/d240139.
- [52] B. Winkler, A. Maier, and I. Lewandowski, ‘Urban Gardening in Germany: Cultivating a Sustainable Lifestyle for the Societal Transition to a Bioeconomy’, *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 3, 2019, doi: 10.3390/SU11030801.

- [53] A. S. Jayara, S. Pandey, and M. K. Bhatt, 'Carbon Sequestration as Influenced by Cropping Practices: A Review', *Agricultural Reviews*, no. Of, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.18805/AG.R-2253.
- [54] R. Gupta and S. G. Gangopadhyay, 'Urban food security through urban agriculture and waste recycling: Some lessons for India', *Vikalpa*. journals.sagepub.com, 2013. doi: 10.1177/0256090920130302.
- [55] Y. Y. Widayat, N. Karlina, M. D. E. Munajat, and S. Ningrum, 'Mapping Policy Actors Using Social Network Analysis on Integrated Urban Farming Program in Bandung City', *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 15, no. 12, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15129612.
- [56] A. Kafle, J. Hopeward, and B. Myers, 'Modelling the Benefits and Impacts of Urban Agriculture: Employment, Economy of Scale and Carbon Dioxide Emissions', *Horticulturae 2023, Vol. 9, Page 67*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 67, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/HORTICULTURAE9010067.
- [57] D. O. Pribadi and S. Pauleit, 'Peri-urban agriculture in Jabodetabek Metropolitan Area and its relationship with the urban socioeconomic system', *Land use policy*, vol. 55, pp. 265–274, Sep. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.LANDUSEPOL.2016.04.008.
- [58] S. Patil *et al.*, 'Sowing Sustainable Cities: Lessons for Urban Agriculture Practices in India', Bangalore, 2023. doi: 10.24943/SSC12.2023.
- [59] A. Abdillah, I. Widianingsih, R. A. Buchari, and H. Nurasa, 'Implications of urban farming on urban resilience in Indonesia: Systematic literature review and research identification', *Cogent Food Agric*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.1080/23311932.2023.2216484.
- [60] Z. Atharinafi and N. Wijaya, 'Land use change and its impacts on surface runoff in rural areas of the upper citarum watershed (case study: Cirasea subwatershed)', *Journal of Regional and City Planning*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 36–55, 2021, doi: 10.5614/jpwk.2021.32.1.3.
- [61] G. Pennisi, F. Magrefi, N. Michelin, G. Bazzocchi, and ..., 'Promoting education and training in urban agriculture building on international projects at the Research Centre on Urban Environment for Agriculture and ...', *Acta .... cris.unibo.it*, 2020.
- [62] J. P. Hand and A. Gregory, 'The Detroit frontier: urban agriculture in a legal vacuum', *Chi.-Kent L. Rev.*, 2017.
- [63] F. Paddeu, 'Demystifying urban agriculture in Detroit'. metropolitics.org, 2017.
- [64] P. Henn and J. Henning, 'Urban agriculture and sustainable urban systems: A benefits assessment of the garden movement in Havana, Cuba', *International Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 202–209, 2002, doi: 10.1504/IJESD.2002.000729.
- [65] M. R. Alfaro *et al.*, 'Risk assessment of heavy metals in soils and edible parts of vegetables grown on sites contaminated by an abandoned steel plant in Havana', *Environ Geochem Health*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 43–56, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10653-021-01092-w.
- [66] L. Kiminami, S. Furuzawa, and A. Kiminami, 'Urban Agriculture in Japan and China: Trends and Policies', ... *-functionality of Urban Agriculture ...*, 2022, doi: 10.1007/978-981-19-1762-2\_4.
- [67] L. Y. Kiminami and A. Kiminami, 'Sustainability of urban agriculture: A comparative analysis of tokyo and shanghai', *Studies in Regional Science*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 585–597, 2007, doi: 10.2457/srs.37.585.
- [68] C. Hughes, 'Urban agriculture and sustainable urban development: a case study of Nairobi, Kenya', *Working Paper - University of British Columbia, Centre for Human Settlements: Asian Urban Research Network*, vol. 18, 1997.
- [69] C. M. Gallaher, J. M. Kerr, M. Njenga, N. K. Karanja, and ..., 'Urban agriculture, social capital, and food security in the Kibera slums of Nairobi, Kenya', *Agriculture and human ...*, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s10460-013-9425-y.
- [70] B. Pölling *et al.*, 'Business models in urban farming: A comparative analysis of case studies from Spain, Italy and Germany', *Moravian Geographical Reports*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 166–180, 2017, doi: 10.1515/MGR-2017-0015.
- [71] J. A. Syhre and M. Brückner, "'The garden has improved my life": Agency and food sovereignty of women in urban agriculture in Nairobi 1', ... *Political Ecology and the Economics of ...*, 2018, doi: 10.4324/9781315648743-10/garden-improved-life-joyce-ann-syhre-meike-br%C3%BCckner.
- [72] N. McClintock and M. Simpson, 'Stacking functions: identifying motivational frames guiding urban agriculture organizations and businesses in the United States and Canada', *Agric Human Values*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10460-017-9784-x.
- [73] C. Grebitus, 'Small-scale urban agriculture: Drivers of growing produce at home and in community gardens in Detroit', *PLoS One*, vol. 16, no. 9, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0256913.
- [74] M. A. Soleh, C. Suherman, and W. A. Qasim, 'Evaluasi Program Buruan Sae Kota Bandung Disertai Pelatihan Bertani Sayuran Microgreen Di Kelurahan Pasirwangi', *LOGISTA - Jurnal Ilmiah Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 13, 2022, doi: 10.25077/logista.6.2.13-17.2022.
- [75] K. I. Safitri, O. S. Abdoellah, B. Gunawan, Y. Suparman, A. Z. Mubarak, and M. Pardede, 'The Adaptation of Export-Scale Urban Farmers Amid the COVID-19 Pandemic in Bandung Metropolitan', *Qualitative Report*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 1169–1196, 2022, doi: 10.46743/2160-3715/2022.5139.

- [76] A. Z. Mubarak, O. S. Abdoellah, S. Withaningsih, and K. Indah, 'Management of Urban Farming Activities In A Community Case Study 1000kebun In Bandung City', *E3S Web of Conferences*, vol. 249, p. 01010, May 2021, doi: 10.1051/E3SCONF/202124901010.
- [77] K. I. Safitri, O. S. Abdoellah, and B. Gunawan, 'Urban Farming as Women Empowerment: Case Study Sa'uyunan Sarijadi Women's Farmer Group in Bandung City', *E3S Web of Conferences*, vol. 249, p. 01007, May 2021, doi: 10.1051/E3SCONF/202124901007.
- [78] B. Ramadhan, S. Sugihardjo, and S. Suminah, 'Public Participation In The Implementation Of The Urban Farming Program In Bandung City', *Khazanah: Jurnal Mahasiswa*, vol. 12, no. 2, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.20885/KHAZANAH.VOL12.ISS2.ART67.
- [79] R. Sutriadi, F. Z. Fahmi, A. Arifianto, and F. I. Muttaqin, 'Buruan Sae, a Green Action towards a Communicative City in Bandung City, West Java Indonesia', *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci*, vol. 1015, no. 1, p. 012023, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/1015/1/012023.